Application	No.
-------------	-----

Applicant(s)

09/341,009

Wu et al. Art Unit

Interview Summary Examiner

Hope Robinson

1653



All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Hope Robinson	(3) Gregory Sebald	
(2) Christopher Low	(4)	
Date of Interview	_	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant	2) applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes	e) 🛛 No. If yes, brief description:	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Application</u>		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.		
	I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or	
any other comments: Mr. Sebald assistant called on his behalf to inform me that	t the application was intentionally abandoned.	
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is	
ill It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).	
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FRO	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached	
	X Warker	
	KAREN COCHRANE CARLSON, PH.D PRIMARY EXAMINER	
AK-	Hillian ea man.	
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's signature, if required	